

# Comprehensive study of the spin-charge interplay in antiferromagnetic $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$

Gil Drachuck<sup>1</sup>, Elia Razzoli<sup>2,3</sup>, Galina Bazalitski<sup>1</sup>, Amit Kanigel<sup>1</sup>, Christof Niedermayer<sup>4</sup>, Ming Shi<sup>2</sup> & Amit Keren<sup>1</sup>

The origin of the pseudogap and its relationship with superconductivity in the cuprates remains vague. In particular, the interplay between the pseudogap and magnetism is mysterious. Here we investigate the newly discovered nodal gap in hole-doped cuprates using a combination of three experimental techniques applied to one, custom made, single crystal. The crystal is an antiferromagnetic  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  with  $x=1.92\%$ . We perform angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy measurements as a function of temperature and find: quasi-particle peaks, Fermi surface, anti-nodal gap and below 45 K a nodal gap. Muon spin rotation measurements ensure that the sample is indeed antiferromagnetic and that the doping is close, but below, the spin-glass phase boundary. We also perform elastic neutron scattering measurements and determine the thermal evolution of the commensurate and incommensurate magnetic order, where we find that a nodal gap opens well below the commensurate ordering at 140 K, and close to the incommensurate spin density wave ordering temperature of 30 K.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Technion—Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel. <sup>2</sup>Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen PSI CH-5232, Switzerland. <sup>3</sup>Departement de Physique and Fribourg Center for Nanomaterials, University de Fribourg, Fribourg CH-1700, Switzerland. <sup>4</sup>Laboratory for Neutron Scattering, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen PSI CH-5232, Switzerland. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.D. (email: gil.drchk@gmail.com) or to A.K. (email: keren@physics.technion.ac.il).


Recent low-temperature angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments on the underdoped cuprate superconductors indicate the presence of a fully gapped Fermi surface (FS)<sup>1–4</sup>, even in the antiferromagnetic phase<sup>5</sup>. The natural candidates for opening such a gap are charge or spin density waves (SDW). Out of all hole-doped cuprates,  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  (LSCO) is the most promising material for exploring whether a SDW is indeed responsible for this gap. LSCO single crystals with large size allow for magnetic measurements such as muon spin rotation ( $\mu\text{SR}$ ) and neutron diffraction (ND), simultaneously with ARPES. The magnetic probes can characterize the SDW while ARPES explores the gap.

However, the region of the phase diagram where the magnetic properties are clearly exposed is different from the region where the band dispersion is visible. On the one hand, long-range magnetic order disappears as doping approaches 2% from below, hindering our ability to perform ND. On the other hand, LSCO becomes insulating at low temperature as the doping approaches 2% from above, thus restricting ARPES. In fact, ARPES data for LSCO with doping lower than 3% are rare and missing the quasiparticle peaks in the energy distribution curves (EDCs)<sup>2,6</sup>. The main problem is the high resistivity of extremely underdoped samples, which is detrimental to ARPES due to charging effects. Nevertheless, the resistivity of LSCO as a function of temperature, at 2% doping, has a broad minimum around 100 K<sup>7</sup>. This minimum insures that resistivity is finite even at lower temperatures and opens a window for both experiments.

By preparing a series of LSCO single crystals with  $\sim 0.2\text{--}0.3\%$  doping steps around 2%, we manage to find one to which all techniques apply. This allows us to explore the cross-talk between the magnetic and electronic properties of the material. We find that the charge excitation is impartial to the commensurate spin order. However, the nodal gap opens at a temperature very close to the one where an incommensurate SDW sets in. The small temperature mismatch is assigned to experimental sensitivity.

## Results

**The magnetic order.** The series of samples are first characterized by  $\mu\text{SR}$ . In Fig. 1, we show the  $\mu\text{SR}$  frequency as a function of temperature for all crystals. In samples with doping equal to or less than 2%, the oscillation starts at temperatures on the order of




**Figure 1 |  $\mu\text{SR}$  frequency as a function of temperature.**  $\mu\text{SR}$  frequency as a function of temperature for LSCO single crystals with different  $x$  values. At  $x = 2\%$ , there is a clear transition from an antiferromagnetic to a spin-glass ground states. The data in the rest of this paper are measured on the  $x = 1.92\%$  sample, which is in the antiferromagnetic phase. The error bars were determined from the data fitting procedure described in the Methods section.


100 K. At 2%, there is a sharp transition from the antiferromagnetic long-range order to the spin-glass state where the same oscillations appear only at temperatures on the order of 10 K (refs 8,9). More details of the  $\mu\text{SR}$  characterization of the samples are given below and in the Methods section. We perform the ND and ARPES measurements on a sample with  $x = 1.92\%$  (red rhombuses) that is antiferromagnetic with a Néel temperature  $T_N \simeq 140$  K.

**The FS.** Figure 2a shows the ARPES intensity map near the Fermi level ( $E_F$ ) in the second Brillouin zone, measured along cuts parallel to cut 1 and 2 shown in the figure. The high intensities represent the underlying FS and has the morphology of the FS in more doped LSCO<sup>10</sup> and other cuprates. Most of the intensity is centred around the zone diagonal on the  $(0, -2\pi/a)$  to  $(\pi/a, -\pi/a)$  line. This suggests the presence of gapped electronic excitations in the off-diagonal  $(0, -\pi/a)$  region. Similar results were obtained at a higher doping level of  $x = 3 - 8\%$  (ref. 4).

**The magnetic structure.** Figure 2b depicts ND for the same sample at 3 K. The scans are performed along the red line, in a



**Figure 2 | The Fermi surface and incommensurate magnetic order in LSCO with 1.92% doping.** (a) Low-energy ARPES data in the second Brillouin zone. The red lines 1 and 2 show cuts on which data were collected. Line 3 is the cut on which temperature dependence data are presented in Fig. 3. Point I, II and III mark points where EDCs are extracted. The intensity is centred in the diagonal region. (b) ND measurements at 3 K in a small region around a magnetic reciprocal lattice vector showing a strong and feeble incommensurate peaks. The dashed red line depicts the measurements scan direction.




**Figure 3 | Temperature dependent ARPES data.** (a–h) ARPES spectra along a cut given by line 3 in Fig. 1. The spectra are divided by resolution broadened Fermi-Dirac distribution. At high  $T$ , the spectrum crosses the Fermi energy. At low  $T$ , it does not. (i) EDCs at the Fermi momentum of point III in Fig. 1b for different temperatures. The solid lines are guides to the eye. At 10 K, the peak is clearly below the Fermi energy.  $\Delta(T)$  is presented in Fig. 4c. (j) MDC at  $E_F$  as a function of temperature showing that  $k_F$  in the diagonal region is temperature independent.

narrow range in the reciprocal lattice space centred around the  $(1, -1)$  point, in the standard tetragonal ARPES units of  $\pi/a$ . In fact, the scanned area of the ND experiment in  $k$ -space is only a small fraction of the scanned area of the ARPES. A clear breaking of the fourfold symmetry is observed in this figure. In addition, two incommensurate peaks are present in the centre of the figure: one is clearly observed as a red spot, and the other is weak and will be demonstrated below. Such incommensurate peaks occur when, on top of the main magnetic order, the system develops spin modulations (stripes) running in diagonal to the bond directions (diagonal stripes). The stripes and commensurate scattering observed in our sample are in agreement with previous reports for low-doping LSCO<sup>11,12</sup>, and are discussed further below.


**The gap.** In Fig. 3a–h, we show the temperature dependence of ARPES data in the vicinity of point III along cut 3 in Fig. 2a. All spectra are divided by resolution broadened Fermi-Dirac distribution at the nominal temperature. Data analysis by the Lucy–Richardson deconvolution method<sup>13</sup> is discussed in the Methods section. At high temperatures ( $T > 50$  K), the dispersive peak crosses  $E_F$  as clearly seen in Fig. 3a. In contrast, at low temperatures ( $T < 45$  K), there is a gap in the electronic spectra, that is, the peak position at  $k_F$  remains below  $E_F$ . A similar gap exists in LSCO up to 8% doping<sup>4</sup>, in LBCO at 4% (ref. 2), in the electron doped compound<sup>1</sup>, in Bi2212 (ref. 3) and La-Bi2201 (ref. 5). It also exists in simulations where inhomogeneous SDW and superconductivity coexist<sup>14</sup> or Coulomb disorder effects are present<sup>15</sup>. EDCs extracted from Fig. 3a–h, at  $k_F$  given by point III of Fig. 2a, are plotted in Fig. 3i. The solid lines are guides to the eye. At temperatures above 45 K, the peak is at  $E_F$ . However, below this temperature the peaks are clearly below  $E_F$ , indicating the presence of a gap.

An important aspect of these EDCs is the fact that at all low temperatures,  $E_F$  is not in the middle of the gap, namely, the intensity of the spectra immediately above  $E_F$  is lower than at  $E_F$ . This could be an analysis artifact due to division by the resolution broadened Fermi-Dirac function<sup>13</sup> or indicate that the particle-hole symmetry is broken. Particle-hole asymmetry towards the



**Figure 4 | Angular-dependent ARPES data.** (a) EDCs at different  $k_F$  along the FS in two different temperatures. The Fermi surface angle  $\phi$  is indicated above each EDC. Spectra I and II are taken at the  $k$  of point I and II in Fig. 1b that are defined by the crossing point between the red lines 1 and 2 and the FS. The other EDCs are from the crossing points in between. At 10 K, a gap is observed all around the FS.  $\Delta(\phi)$  is presented in the inset of Fig. 5c. (b) Similar EDCs at 100 K. In this case, a gap is observed only in the off-diagonal region.

diagonal region in the non-superconducting phase<sup>16</sup>, and in the off-diagonal region<sup>17</sup>, was found previously. However, it is very difficult to distinguish between the two options in our sample with extremely low carrier concentration.




**Figure 5 | Experimental parameters from all three techniques.** (a) Muon rotation frequency and the magnetic volume fraction of the sample as a function of temperature taken from a fit to a three Lorentzian model (see Methods section). The inset shows raw data and the fit quality for the  $x = 1.92\%$  sample. (b) Commensurate and incommensurate ND intensity. The SDW inset is the intensity versus  $k$  along the neutron scattering cut in Fig. 2c showing the strong and feeble incommensurate peaks. The  $(\pi, \pi)$  inset shows the commensurate peak. (c) ARPES nodal gap  $\Delta$  at  $k_F$ , as obtained from the peaks in Fig. 3i, versus temperature. The inset shows the angular dependence of the gap  $\Delta(\phi)$ . The error bars were determined from the experimental resolution.

Another parameter relevant for understanding the mechanism by which the nodal gap opens is the temperature dependence of  $k_F$ . This is explored by extracting the momentum dispersion curve at  $E_F$  from Fig. 3a–h, as presented in Fig. 3j. The peak position fluctuates somewhat around a constant value as the temperature is lowered, indicating that  $k_F = 0.63(\pi/a)$  along the diagonal is temperature independent. Therefore, the high-intensity curves in Fig. 2b represents the FS.

The evolution of the gap around the FS is shown in Fig. 4a,b for 10 and 100 K. The spectra marked I and II in Fig. 4a are EDCs at the  $k_F$  of points I and II in Fig. 2a. The spectra in between correspond to  $k_F$  between points I and II. EDCs shown in Fig. 4b are from similar point along the FS. In the off-diagonal region, there is no spectral weight at  $E_F$  for both temperatures, indicating that the anti-nodal gap opens at a temperature higher than 100 K. In the diagonal region, there is high spectral weight at 100 K, but not at 10 K. Thus at 100 K, we observe a Fermi arc<sup>18</sup>, while at 10 K, a gap appears all around the FS.

**Summary of parameters.** Finally, in Fig. 5, we present a summary of parameters extracted from all three techniques. Figure 5a



**Figure 6 | The Lucy-Richardson method.** The same data as in Fig. 3i but analysed using the Lucy-Richardson deconvolution method<sup>13</sup>.

shows the temperature dependence of the muon rotation frequency and magnetic volume fraction. The inset represents a fit of a three-component model, with one frequency, to the  $\mu\text{SR}$  data at different temperatures. More details are available in the methods section. The magnetic parameters grow upon cooling, saturate between 50 and 25 K, and grow again at lower temperatures. The temperature  $T_F = 25$  K was associated with hole freezing into one dimensional domain walls<sup>8,9,19</sup>. The restriction of the charge motion below  $T_F$  must be intimately related to the opening of the nodal gap.

Figure 5b shows the commensurate and the incommensurate scattering intensity. The insets show two raw data sets. The first is a scan along  $(0, 1 + \delta k, 0)$  (in orthorhombic units), which is the red diagonal line in Fig. 2b. This scan is sensitive to the incommensurate fluctuations and show two asymmetric peaks. The second scan is along  $(1 + \delta h, 1, 0)$  and detects only the commensurate order as a single peak. As the temperature is raised, the incommensurate intensity decreases until it disappears at  $T_F = 30$  K. The commensurate intensity peaks at 25 K, just when the muon oscillation changes its behaviour, and vanishes at  $T_N = 130$  K. Our ND temperature dependence results, shape of the peaks and incommensurability parameter (in tetragonal units)  $\delta = 0.027(\pi/a)$  are in good agreement with previously reported data<sup>12</sup>. While the ND data are well understood in terms of a spiral ground state<sup>20</sup>, its relation to the gap is still mysterious, since the nesting condition  $k_F + \delta = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{2a}$  is not fulfilled in our sample.

The nodal gaps at  $k_F$ ,  $\Delta$ , are determined from the peak in the EDCs of Fig. 3i and presented in Fig. 5c as a function of temperature. Very similar gap values are found using the Lucy-Richardson deconvolution method, shown in Fig. 6 (ref. 13). The gap opens at 45 K. The major observations in this figure are: (I) the nodal gap opens when the commensurate moment is about half its full value. (II) With temperature decreasing, the nodal gap first opens when the incommensurate moment is barely or not detectable.



The temperature mismatch between the opening of the nodal gap and appearance of the incommensurate peaks could be real, but most likely is an artifact. We determined the ARPES gap from the quasi-particle peaks. An alternative is to use the leading edge as the measure of the gap. The leading edge crosses the Fermi energy at a lower temperature than the peak. Therefore, the leading edge criteria would make the temperature mismatch smaller. In addition, the ND require both periodic and frozen magnetic moments on a time scale determined by the instrument resolution. ARPES is sensitive to shorter time scales than ND. Hence, lower temperature are required for ND to pick up the incommensurate signal than for ARPES to detect the gap. This experimental time scale difference between techniques is an alternative explanation to the temperature mismatch.

The angular dependence of the gaps is presented in the inset of Fig. 5c; the angle  $\phi$  is defined in Fig. 2a. When a peak is absent, the gap is taken from the change of slope in the EDCs.  $\Delta(\phi)$  is in agreement with previous measurements for 3–8% dopings<sup>4</sup>.

## Discussion

On the basis of weak coupling theory, Berg *et al.*<sup>21</sup> argued that the commensurate part of the SDW can open a nodal gap only if the moment is larger than a critical value. However, the opening of the gap will be accompanied by a shift in  $k_F$ . The effect of the incommensurate part of the SDW depends on the properties of time reversal followed by translation symmetry, which can be broken or unbroken. In the unbroken case, a nodal gap will open when the perturbation exceeds a critical value. Both these options do not agree with our data. In the broken symmetry case, a nodal gap can open for arbitrarily small perturbation with no impact on  $k_F$ . This option is in agreement with our measurements and bares important information on the symmetries of the ground state. Another possibility is that the gap is of the superconducting type, which maintains particle-hole symmetry and keeps  $k_F$  fixed. This option would mean that the cuprates have a superconducting gap in the antiferromagnetic phase.

In conclusion, we detect a nodal gap in the antiferromagnetic phase of LSCO. The gap opens well below  $T_N$  and very close to the temperature where incommensurate SDW is detected. This finding puts strong restrictions on the origin of the nodal gap.

## Methods

**$\mu$ SR.** The experiment was carried out at PSI on the GPS beam line. We fit the muon polarization as a function of time to

$$P_z(t) = (1 - V_m)e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^2t^2} + V_m \left[ p \times e^{-(R_1 t)^{b_1}} + (1 - p) \times e^{-(R_2 t)^{b_2}} \cos(\omega t + \phi) \right] + P_{Bg} \quad (1)$$

where  $\omega$  is the muon rotation angular frequency,  $V_m$  stands for the magnetic volume fraction,  $p$  is the amplitude of the rotating signal resulting from the angle between the muon spin and the internal field,  $D$ ,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are relaxation rates,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are stretching exponents, and  $P_{Bg}$  is the background polarization from muon that missed the sample. We could fit the data well with  $b_1 = 0.5$  and  $b_2 = 1$  for most of the temperatures. Between  $T = 25$  to  $50$  K, we allowed freedom in their values to achieve an optimal fit.

**ARPES.** The experiment was done at the Swiss Light Source in PSI. The samples were mounted on a specialized holder, as described in Mansson *et al.*<sup>22</sup>, with silver glue to improve electrical conductivity. The Fermi level and resolution were determined from the polycrystalline copper sample holder. The samples were cleaved *in situ* at  $T = 10$  K under a ultrahigh vacuum better than  $6 \times 10^{-11}$  Torr. Circularly polarized light with  $h\nu = 55$  eV was used. The spectra were acquired with a VG Scienta R4000 electron analyser. Charging tests have been carried out by varying the photon flux. No charging was found. In addition, after performing the high temperature measurements, the sample was cooled again and the results at  $10$  K were reproducible. We examined the surface with LEED at each temperature and no surface degeneration or reconstruction was found during the measurements.

It should be pointed out that in ARPES, the incoming light warms the surface, and there could be a few degrees difference between the sample

surface temperature and the temperature of the cold finger which we quote in the paper.

EDCs obtained by Lucy–Richardson deconvolution method are depicted in Fig. 6.

**Neutron diffraction.** The ND experiments was carried out at Paul Scherrer Institute (SINQ, PSI) on the RITA-II beam line. The crystal was mounted on an aluminium sample holder with the crystallographic  $\hat{c}$ -axis perpendicular to the neutron beam; that is,  $(1,0,0)$ – $(0,1,0)$  scattering plane. The beam collimation was set to  $80^\circ$ – $40^\circ$ – $80^\circ$ . A beryllium filter was placed before the analyser to remove higher order neutrons. The instrument consisted of a nine-blade pyrolytic graphite analysers. The diffraction studies were performed with  $4.04 \text{ \AA}$  (5 meV) neutrons, using all the blades in order to create a 2D intensity plot. The sample was aligned in such a manner that the area of interest (commensurate and incommensurate magnetism) was measured in the centre blade. The incommensurate part was measured in a  $(0,1 + \delta q, 0)$  scan to avoid the commensurate contribution. The high temperature data were subtracted to remove the leftover  $\lambda/2$  contribution from the  $(0,2,0)$  structural Bragg peak. For the commensurate part, the measurement was performed with a scan direction  $(1 + \delta q, \delta q, 0)$  to avoid the incommensurate contribution.

## References

- Harter, J. W. *et al.* Nodeless superconducting phase arising from a strong  $(\pi, \pi)$  antiferromagnetic phase in the infinite-layer electron-doped  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  compound. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 267001 (2012).
- Valla, T. Angle-resolved photoemission from cuprates with static stripes. *Physica C* **481**, 66–74 (2012).
- Vishik, I. M. *et al.* Phase competition in trisected superconducting dome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 18332–18337 (2012).
- Razzoli, E. *et al.* Evolution from a nodeless gap to  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave in underdoped  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 047004 (2013).
- Peng, Y. *et al.* Disappearance of nodal gap across the insulator superconductor transition in a copper-oxide superconductor. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2459 (2013).
- Shen, K. M. *et al.* Fully gapped single-particle excitations in lightly doped cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 054503 (2004).
- Ando, Y. *et al.* Electronic phase diagram of high- $T_c$  cuprate superconductors from a mapping of the in-plane resistivity curvature. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 267001 (2004).
- Niedermayer, Ch. *et al.* Common phase diagram for antiferromagnetism in  $\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$  and  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  as seen by muon spin rotation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 3843–3846 (1998).
- Chou, F. C. *et al.* Magnetic phase diagram of lightly doped  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  from  $^{139}\text{La}$  nuclear quadrupole resonance. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 2323–2326 (1993).
- Razzoli, E. *et al.* The Fermi surface and band folding in  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  probed by angle-resolved photoemission. *New J. Phys.* **12**, 125003 (2010).
- Fujita, M. *et al.* Static magnetic correlations near the insulating-superconducting phase boundary in  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 064505 (2002).
- Matsuda, M. *et al.* Electronic phase separation in lightly doped  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 134515 (2002).
- Rameau, J. *et al.* Application of the Lucy–Richardson deconvolution procedure to high resolution photoemission spectra. *Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **181**, 35–43 (2010).
- Atkinson, W. A. *et al.* Robust nodal d-wave spectrum in simulations of a strongly fluctuating competing order in underdoped cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 267004 (2012).
- Chen, W. *et al.* Coulomb disorder effects on angle-resolved photoemission and nuclear quadrupole resonance spectra in cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 094519 (2009).
- Yang, H.-B. *et al.* Emergence of preformed Cooper pairs from the doped Mott insulating state in  $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+x}$ . *Nature* **456**, 77–80 (2008).
- Hashimoto, M. *et al.* Particle hole symmetry breaking in the pseudogap state of  $\text{Bi}2201$ . *Nat. Phys.* **6**, 414–418 (2010).
- Kanigel, A. *et al.* Evolution of the pseudogap from Fermi arcs to the nodal liquid. *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 447–451 (2006).
- Gooding, R. J. *et al.* Staggered magnetization in  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  from  $^{139}\text{La}$  NQR and  $\mu$ SR: effects of Sr doping in the range  $0 < x < 0.02$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 7334–7345 (1995).
- Lüscher, A. *et al.* Structure of the spin-glass state of  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ : the spiral theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 037001 (2007).
- Berg, E. *et al.* Stability of nodal quasiparticles in superconductors with coexisting orders. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 027003 (2008).
- Mansson, M. *et al.* On-board sample cleaver. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **78**, 076103 (2007).

## Acknowledgements

The Technion team was supported by the Israeli Science Foundation (ISF) and the joint German-Israeli DIP project. E.R. was partially supported by the Fonds National Suisse

pour la Recherche Scientifique through Div. II and the Swiss National Center of Competence in Research MaNEP. We thank the SLS, SμS and SINQ beam line staff at the Paul Scherrer Institute for their excellent support.

#### **Author contributions**

A.Ke. proposed and designed the research. G.D. and G.B. prepared and characterized the samples. G.D., E.R., A.Ke., A.Ka., C.N. and M.S. carried out the experiment and data analysis. G.D. and A.Ke. wrote the paper.

#### **Additional information**

**Competing financial interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.